

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,519	06/15/2001	Peter R. Pujado	102379/102344	9937
23490	7590 08/04/2003			
JOHN G TOLOMEI, PATENT DEPARTMENT UOP LLC 25 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			EXAMINER	
			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
P O BOX 5017 DES PLAINES, IL 60017-5017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No. 882519	Applicant(s) Pujado				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	droup Art Unit				
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-						
Period for Reply	_					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent and precise of the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	bly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)	·	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
XClaim(s) 1-4, 6-8, 19 and	is/are rejected.					
*Claim(s) 5, 9-18 qn 9 3	is/are objected to.					
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	requirement					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
Certified copies not received:		•				
Attachment(s)	_					
Unformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	terview Summary, PTO-413					
Notic of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notic of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-948	ther					
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

 \rangle

Part of Paper No. ____

Serial No. 09/882,519

Art Unit 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 19 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese 62-234550. Japanese 62-234550 discloses a process for the epoxidation of olefins comprising oxidizing the olefin with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of a solid oxidation catalyst comprising a salt or peroxide consisting of quaternary ammonium ion derived from quaternary ammonium compound or a quaternary ammonium compound with a nitrogen-containing ring and a heteropolyacid ion of an element from Group V of the Periodic Table. (See the English The Abstract teaches that solvents used are Abstract.) non-hydrophilic solvents, for example halogenated hydrocarbons. The difference between the process disclosed by Japanese 62-234550, and that recited in applicant's claims 1-4, 8, 19, and 21-25, is that the reference does not specifically disclose that the halogenated hydrocarbons should be fluorocarbons or chlorofluorocarbons. It would be prima facie obvious to employ

Serial No. 09/882,519
Art Unit 1754

fluorocarbons or chlorofluorocarbons as the halogenated hydrocarbon solvent in the process of Japanese 62-234550, since the Abstract of the reference suggests that any halogenated hydrocarbon which is non-hydrophilic would be suitable, and it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine which of the halogenated hydrocarbons would possess such property. Accordingly it would be prima facie obvious to employ fluorocarbons or chlorofluorocarbons as such halogenated hydrocarbons.

Moseley et al. '128 and Moseley et al. '240 are made of record for disclosing the production of hydrogen peroxide by reacting hydrogen and oxygen in the presence of a fluorocarbon and/or halofluorocarbon and water.

Kukes et al. is made of record for disclosing the use of fluorocarbons during the multiphase oxidation of olefins to ketones with Wacker-type catalysts.

Claims 5, 9-18 and 20 are objected to as based on rejected parent claims, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

Serial No. 09/882,519

Art Unit 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

July 30, 2003

Mayre A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER